

Letter & No.	Commenter	Comment	Scoping Response			COMMENT RESPONSE
			In Original Scope	Added to Scope ¹	Not Added	
5-2	Benson R McGann, M.D. and Nancy B. McGann.	A major concern is the low flow of the Creek during the summer months that would increase the bacterial and viral counts in the water.	X			No project related direct discharge to Outlet Creek is proposed to occur from May 15 to October 15.
5-3	Benson R McGann, M.D. and Nancy B. McGann.	It is my understanding that the ultra violet treatment of the wastewater would not be sufficient to eliminate the spread of disease. I am aware of the present regulation allowing 1% effluent during the winter months, but understand that the effluent is frequently greater than the 1% allowable. I believe that the proposed project would have a deleterious effect on the stream fish, particularly the Coho salmon.				Ultra Violet disinfection is proposed as part of the project. The effectiveness of this disinfection method will be analyzed in the EIR. The commenter has received additional information on this subject from Dr. Gearheart, Hydro Resources International. The proposed project's potential impacts on stream fish, including Coho salmon, will be analyzed in the EIR.
6-1	Benson R. McGann, M.D.	I have not received the information that you mentioned in your letter of March 28, on the UV treatment of wastewater. I would appreciate any information that you have on the subject.	X			The requested information on UV disinfection has been sent to the commenter by Dr. Gearheart, Hydro Resources International.
6-2	Benson R. McGann, M.D.	There are several other concerns that must be addresses regarding the "Proposal", including the deleterious effect on Coho Salmon, steelhead in the streams, in permeability of the land in the Little Lake basin and its drainage system, the potential dangers of infection of numerous bacteria, and viruses, especially Hepatitis for recreational users of the waterways and drinking wells of Valley inhabitants.	X			See comment 5-2
7-1	Mike Chapman, Brooktrails Township	BTCSO realizes that we may be obligated in some manner, now or in the future, to pay for the capital cost of this new project, as well as the ongoing costs relative to our proportionate share of inflow. Therefore, BTCSO feels that we should be represented in the project planning, including environmental, engineering, recreational, financing, regulatory compliance and other relevant issues.			X	Pursuant to the existing agreement between the City of Willits and the BTCSO, the City, as lead agency operator and owner, will determine how capital costs for the project will be allocated. The project costs will be described in the socioeconomic impact analysis, however the allocation of those costs is outside the scope of work for the EIR. The BTCSO is on the distribution list and will receive notices and drafts related to the EIR. Representation

Letter & No.	Commenter	Comment	Scoping Response			COMMENT RESPONSE
			In Original Scope	Added to Scope ¹	Not Added	
						<p>beyond that would be up to the City of Willits and the BTCSD.</p> <p>The City and Brooktrails have an agreement that leases 37% of plant capacity to Brooktrails. The City is the plant operator and is responsible for meeting discharge standards.</p>
8-1	Richard Estabrook - Brooktrails Township	<p>We did have one comment regarding [NOP] Alternative 3. We would suggest that future growth in Brooktrails be included in the discussion of this alternative. Specifically, we would ask that a third paragraph be added, following the discussion of potential growth in Willits. We recommend the following wording:</p> <p>"In addition, the Brooktrails Specific Plan (Chapter 4, Zoning Goal LU-4.1, found on page 4-10) projects growth from the current population of 3,700 to a buildout population of 9,919. While no time frames are given for this growth to occur, a population of about 5,800 by the year 2020 could be expected, using the long-term historical growth rate of 40 Single Family Residences per year. Growth in recent years has been considerably less than the historic rate. The Brooktrails Specific Plan also states that additional sewer trunk line capacity will be needed at 1,900 units, which equates to a population of 4,940.</p>	X			<p>Alternative 3 has been eliminated from further review in the EIR. As part of the scoping process it was determined that, based on projected growth rates and other infrastructure limitations, neither the City of Willits nor Brooktrails would reach plan to buildout during the lifespan of the proposed project. The Long Term Wastewater Treatment and Effluent Management Plan (July 2000), completed by Hydro Resources International, determined the amount of treatment capacity based on projected growth rates and infrastructure. These figures are less than the population or units referenced in the comment.</p> <p>The proposed project would primarily change the treatment methods, and would increase capacity only slightly.</p>
9-1	Thomas E. Schott, USDA Natural Resources Cons. Service	<p>Due to the presence of wetland, a Section 404 Permit will be required prior to any alteration. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is the administrating agency of Section 404 and should be contacted to initiate the permit process for wetland alterations.</p>	X			<p>The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has been contacted regarding jurisdictional wetlands on the project site. Potential impacts to jurisdictional wetlands will be described in the EIR and the Section 404 permit process will be followed for any proposed wetlands alterations.</p>
10-1	Debbie Pilas, Treadway Native American	<p>To adequately assess the project-related impact on archaeological resources, the Commission recommends the following action be required:</p> <p>I. Contact the appropriate Information Center for a</p>		X		<p>The assessment of impacts to archaeological resources will follow the recommendations made by the Native American Heritage Commission. The process described in the comment has been</p>